

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,420	04/20/2004	Scott Dewey	GP-303953	5380
65798 7590 08/23/2007 MILLER IP GROUP, PLC GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION 42690 WOODWARD AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			KARLSEN, ERNEST F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 200 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304			2829	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/828,420	DEWEY ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Ernest F. Karlsen	2829			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Faill Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 June 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	_					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152,			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau	•	and.			
· ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	· ·			
	•					
Attachmer		A) 🔲 Indonesia C	/DTO 413\			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2829

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jin in view of Powell and Kuhrt. Jin shows apparatus wherein ground faults are sensed in a dc power supply line supplying power to a high voltage component but does not show a Hall effect sensor with a magnetic core. Powell shows a ground fault sensing apparatus wherein differential current in a two wire supply is sensed with a magnetic core 10 and a magnetic sensor 16, disclosed as a magnetoresistor. Kuhrt shows a magnetoresistor sensing current in Figure 1 and a Hall plate sensing current in Figure 3 thereby establishing their equivalence. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have adapted the apparatus of Powell as modified by the teaching of Kuhrt (substituting a Hall plate for a magnetoresistor) to measure leakage current in the apparatus of Jin because one of ordinary skill would realize that so doing would result in a device with greater range and be more economical to produce. Amplifiers as claimed in claims 2 and 12 can be used anywhere it is desired to change the level of a signal.

The above rejection is exactly the same rejection as the rejection of March 7, 2007. Applicants' main argument in reply to the above rejection seems to be that none of the applied references relate to fuel cells. Jin shows the equivalence of a battery and a fuel cell stack in vehicles in column 1, lines 21-34. Lines 21-34 are not in the best of

Art Unit: 2829

form language wise but the Examiner is of the opinion that one skilled in the art would understand that a battery or fuel cell is to be used to power the electric motor and gasoline or similar fuel is to be used to power the internal combustion engine.

Jin does teach the equivalence of a battery and a fuel cell in a system for supplying power to an electric motor in a vehicle. Jin also has apparatus for sensing ground fault or current leakage in such a system. Thus Jin suggests a fuel cell as a power source for an electric motor and has apparatus for sensing ground fault or current leakage which apparatus for sensing is different from that employed by Applicants. The Examiner contends that Powell could be modified by the teaching of Kuhrt and the resulting apparatus substituted for the apparatus for sensing of Jin because one of ordinary skill in the art would realize that so doing would result in a device with greater range and be more economical to produce.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/828,420 Page 4

Art Unit: 2829

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ernest F. Karlsen whose telephone number is 571-272-1961. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hrs. Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Ha Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-1678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ernest F. Karlsen

August 21, 2007

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Emest Tharlen